



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/883,386	06/19/2001	Son H. Lam	219.40057X00	1333

7590

09/06/2006

Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth P.A.  
c/o Anne Richards  
1600 TCF Tower  
121 S. 8th Street  
Minneapolis, MN 55402

EXAMINER

ELAMIN, ABDELMONIEM I

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2116

DATE MAILED: 09/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/883,386

Applicant(s)

LAM, SON H.

Examiner

Abdelmoniem Elamin

Art Unit

2116

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 June 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 6-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kennedy, US. Pat. No. 5,659,748.

3. Claims 6-7, 12, Kennedy teaches a multiprocessor system for fault resilient booting [*multi-processor system of Fig. 3*], comprising:

a plurality of processors [*CPU 1-3 of Fig. 3*] with one processor being denominated a bootstrap processor [*CPU1, 120 of Fig. 3*];

a control unit for generating a series of control signals [*IOSM 108 of Fig. 3*];

a timer [*timer 184 of Fig. 3*];

a latch for turning said bootstrap processor off [*latch 186 of Fig. 4*];

said timer providing a signal indicating that a predetermined time has expired, which is applied to said latch to set said latch [*col. 9, lines 52-56*];

said control unit providing a first signal to said latch for setting said latch, a second signal applied to said latch for resetting said latch, a third signal for controlling other processors and a fourth signal for a resetting the timer [*see the discussion related to Fig. 3*].

4. Claim 8, Kennedy teaches said timer begins a time period wherein power is turned on and ends said time period after a predetermined time [*col. 9, lines 52-56*].

Art Unit: 2116

5. Claims 9, 14, Kennedy teaches the bootstrap processor is considered to fail if said timer is not reset before reaching said predetermined time [*col. 10, lines 7-10*].
6. Claim 10, Kennedy teaches said control unit includes a system I/O chip [*see IOSM of Fig. 3*].
7. Claims 11 and 15, Kennedy teaches the apparatus is part of an appliance server management system [*Fig. 3*].
8. Claim 13, Kennedy teaches said first signal from said control unit is generated when said bootstrap processor fails a power-on self-test or a built-in self-test [*see latch 186 and related discussion*].
9. Claims 16, Kennedy teaches said control unit causes another processor to become the bootstrap processor when said bootstrap processor is disabled by said latch [*see the discussion related to IOSM 108*].

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Natsu, US. Pat. No. 5,790,850 (cited by Applicant) in view of Kennedy, US. Pat. No. 5,659,748.
12. Claim 1, Natsu teaches a method of a fault resilient booting in a multiprocessor system [*title, abstract*], comprising:

Art Unit: 2116

designating one processor as a bootstrap processor [*Step 110 of Fig. 2A, col. 3, lines 44-45*];

testing the bootstrap processor to verify that it will run BIOS code [*col. 3, lines 48-51*];

testing during a POST the operation of said bootstrap processor [*Fig. 2A, col 3, lines 44-50*];

13. testing during BIST the operation of said bootstrap processor [*Fig. 2,4, col. 3, lines 54-57*];

assigning the bootstrap process to another processor if said bootstrap processor fails a test [*Fig. 2A, col. 3, lines 60-62*];

said steps being implemented in an appliance server management system [*title, abstract*].

Natu teaches determining if the testing indicates failure [*col. 2, lines 65-66, col. 6, lines 64-65*]. However, Natu fails to teach setting a latch for disabling the failed bootstrap processor if the testing indicates failure.

Kennedy teaches a method of a fault resilient booting in a multiprocessor system [*title, abstract*], comprising setting a latch disposed within a failing processor to generate an output signal which disables the failing processor [*see abstract, Figs. 3, 4 and related discussion*].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Natu to include setting a latch for disabling bootstrap processor if the testing indicates failure, because if the failing processor has internal failure, it may not be able to operate properly to remove itself from the operation. Thus disabling the failing processor eliminates the problem of relying on a failing processor to perform the appropriate action to remove itself from operation.

Art Unit: 2116

14. Claims 2-3, Kennedy teaches said first testing step utilizes a timer which indicates a failure if it is not reset within the predetermined time period [*see Kennedy's timer 186 of Fig. 4*].

15. Claims 4-5, Natu and Kennedy teach the testing steps are controlled by a control unit, and the control unit includes the system I/O chip [*see Natu's abstract and Kennedy's IOSM of Fig. 3 and related discussion*].

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Abdelmoniem Elamin whose telephone number is 571-2727-3674. The examiner can normally be reached on MON - THUR 10:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne can be reached on 571-272-3670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Abdelmoniem Elamin  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2116

September 3, 2006